

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

The fields on this screen are read only and reference the information entered during the CoC Registration process. Updates cannot be made at this time. If the information on this screen is not correct, contact the HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/ask-a-question/>.

Collaborative Applicant Name: City of Murfreesboro

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2017 into one or more new projects? Yes

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewa l Amount	Type of Reallocation
This list contains no items				

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are reducing eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
\$23,000					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
MHA Supportive Ca...	TN0107L4J101506	\$406,527	\$383,527	\$23,000	Regular

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

4-1. Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2016 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2016 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered here is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: MHA Supportive Care FY2016

Grant Number of Reduced Project: TN0107L4J101506

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$406,527

Amount Retained for Project: \$383,527

Amount available for New Project(s): \$23,000
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

4-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The HMIS renewal grant for TN-510 was not funded in the current funding cycle. Murfreesboro Housing Authority, the HMIS Lead for TN-510 suggested that its Supportive Care renewal be reduced by the total necessary to fund HMIS in the next funding cycle. The Executive Committee concurred with this suggestion on 9/13/16 and a new project application has been submitted using the reallocated amount.

5. Reallocation - New Project(s)

Collaborative Applicants must complete each field on this form that identifies the new project(s) the CoC created through the reallocation process.

Sum of All New Reallocated Project Requests
(Must be less than or equal to total amount(s) eliminated and/or reduced)

\$23,000

Current Priority #	New Project Name	Component Type	Transferred Amount	Reallocation Type
8	HMIS FY2016	HMIS	\$23,000	Regular

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

5-1. Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2016 Rank (from Project Listing): 8

Proposed New Project Name: HMIS FY2016

Component Type: HMIS

Amount Requested for New Project: \$23,000

6. Reallocation: Balance Summary

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

6-1. Below is a summary of the information entered on the eliminated and reduced reallocation forms. The last field on this form, "Remaining Reallocation Balance" should equal zero. If there is a positive balance remaining, this means the amount of funds being eliminated or reduced are greater than the amount of funds requested for the new reallocated project(s). If there is a negative balance remaining, this means that more funds are being requested for the new reallocated project(s) than have been reduced or eliminated from other eligible renewal projects, which is not permitted.

Reallocation Chart: Reallocation Balance Summary

Reallocated funds available for new project(s):	\$23,000
Amount requested for new project(s):	\$23,000
Remaining Reallocation Balance:	\$0

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

To upload all new project applications that have been submitted to this CoC Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	Comp Type
HMIS FY2016	2016-09-14 12:04:...	1 Year	Murfreesboro Hous...	\$23,500	8	HMIS

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

To upload all renewal project applications that have been submitted to this Renewal Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that
there is a demonstrated
need for all renewal permanent supportive
housing and rapid
re-housing projects listed on the Renewal
Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have
any renewal permanent
supportive housing or rapid re-housing
renewal projects.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	Comp Type
MHA Supportive Ca...	2016-09-12 17:31:...	1 Year	Murfreesboro Hous...	\$406,527	3	PH
Cottage Program R...	2016-09-13 16:16:...	1 Year	Greenhouse Minist...	\$50,958	1	PH
2016 Older Youth ...	2016-09-14 10:49:...	1 Year	The Journey Home	\$18,400	2	PH
2016 Chronic Leas...	2016-09-14 09:43:...	1 Year	The Journey Home	\$9,300	6	PH
2016 Family Leasi...	2016-09-14 09:51:...	1 Year	The Journey Home	\$52,648	5	PH

Applicant: Murfreesboro/Rutherford County CoC

TN-510

Project: TN-510 CoC Registration FY2016

COC_REG_2016_136034

2016 LOV Leasing ...	2016-09-14 10:34:...	1 Year	The Journey Home	\$13,403	7	PH
2016 Smyrna (Assu...	2016-09-14 11:13:...	1 Year	The Journey Home	\$12,908	4	PH

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

To upload the CoC planning project application that has been submitted to this CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes as the project will need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC Planning project application can be submitted and it must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile. Any additional CoC Planning project applications must be rejected.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type
This list contains no items					

Funding Summary

Instructions

For additional information, carefully review the FY 2016 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and the CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide.

This page contains the total budget summaries for each of the project listings for which the Collaborative Applicant approved and ranked or rejected project applications. The Collaborative Applicant must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount the Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$564,144
New Amount	\$23,500
CoC Planning Amount	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$587,644

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1. Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan	Yes	Certificate of Co...	09/14/2016
2. FY 2016 HUD-approved Grant Inventory Worksheet	Yes	HUD Approved GIW	09/09/2016
3. FY 2016 Rank (from Project Listing)	No	Ratings and Ranki...	09/14/2016
4. Other	No		
5. Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certificate of Compliance

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD Approved GIW

Attachment Details

Document Description: Ratings and Rankings

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2016 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2016 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
1A. Identification	09/09/2016
2. Reallocation	09/13/2016
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	No Input Required
4. Grant(s) Reduced	09/14/2016
5. New Project(s)	09/14/2016
6. Balance Summary	No Input Required
7A. CoC New Project Listing	09/14/2016
7B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/14/2016
7D. CoC Planning Project Listing	No Input Required
Attachments	09/14/2016
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Applicant: Murfreesboro/Rutherford County CoC
Project: TN-510 CoC Registration FY2016

TN-510
COC_REG_2016_136034

--

**Certification of Consistency
with the Consolidated Plan**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Murfreesboro/Rutherford County Continuum of Care (TN-510)Project Name: FY2016 Continuum of Care Consolidated ApplicationLocation of the Project: Various sites in Murfreesboro and Rutherford County, TNName of the Federal
Program to which the
applicant is applying: HUD Continuum of CareName of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Murfreesboro, TennesseeCertifying Official
of the Jurisdiction
Name: John CallowTitle: Community Development DirectorSignature: Date: September 13, 2016

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

Project Name: Cottage Program Project Agency: Greenhouse Ministries

Evaluator Name: Combined Avg Scores of R&R Workers Group Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH	40	40
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		40
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	11
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	15
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		36
Part C: Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	4
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	
Total Points - Part C		16
Part D: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	
Total Points - Part D		4
Part E: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	96
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

Project Name: OLDER YOUTH RENEWAL Project Agency: JOURNEY HOME

Evaluator Name: Combined Avg Scores of R&R Working Group Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH <u>- Youth</u>	40	40
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		40
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	0
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	10
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		20
Part C: Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	2
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	4
Total Points - Part C		18
Part D: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	
Total Points - Part D		4
Part E: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	90
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

3

Project Name: Supportive Care Project Agency: MHA

Evaluator Name: Combined Arg Scores of R&R Working Group Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH	40	35
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		35
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	13
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	10
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		33
Part C. Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	4
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	
Total Points - Part C		16
Part D. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	1
Total Points - Part D		5
Part C. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	89
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

Project Name: Sonyra (Assumed) Project Agency: Journey Home

Evaluator Name: Combined Avg Scores of R/R Working Group Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH <i>families w/children</i>	40	40
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		40
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	5
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	10
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		25
Part C: Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	2
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	4
Total Points - Part C		18
Part D: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	
Total Points - Part D		4
Part C: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	87
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

5

Project Name: Family Leasing Project Agency: Journey Home

Evaluator Name: Combined Avg Scores of Rel Working Group Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH - <u>Family w/ children</u>	40	40
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		40
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	4
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	10
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		24
Part C. Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	2
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	4
Total Points - Part C		18
Part D. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	
Total Points - Part D		4
Part C. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	86
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

6

Project Name: Chronic Leasing Project Agency: Journey Home

Evaluator Name: Combined by Scores of R&R Workshop & Hong Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH	40	40
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		40
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	3
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	10
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		23
Part C. Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	2
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	4
Total Points - Part C		18
Part D. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	
Total Points - Part D		4
Part C. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	85
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

Project Name: LOV LEASING

Project Agency: JOJOURNEY HOME

Evaluator Name: Combined Avg Scores of 2-3 Rubric Items

Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH	40	30
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		30
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	5
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	10
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		25
Part C. Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	4
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	2
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	4
Total Points - Part C		18
Part D. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	1
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	1
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	1
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	1
5. Timely drawdowns	1	
Total Points - Part D		4
Part C. HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	77
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		

FY2016 TN – 510 CoC Project Priority Ranking Form

8

Project Name: HMIS - New Project Agency: MHA

Evaluator Name: Continued Avg Scores of RFR Workshop Date: 9/14/16

Part A: Community Priority (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Permanent Supportive Housing - 100% CH	40	
2. HMIS or Coordinated Entry	35	35
3. Rapid Re-housing	20	
4. Any other eligible activity	15	
Total Points - Part A		35
Part B: Agency Capacity (Maximum Points – 40)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Commitment to 25% match	13	13
2. Explains outreach and target to CH or literal homeless population	15	
3. Agency performance on other projects	12	10
Total Points - Part B		23
Part C: Local CoC Planning Processes (Maximum Points – 20)	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Plan to participate in TN-510's Coordinated Entry and community wait list	4	
2. Plan and funding for supportive services and experience with CH	4	
3. Experience with TN-510's local HMIS – Service Point	4	4
4. Good standing with any and all state and federal funding	4	4
5. Low barrier entry to program	4	
Total Points - Part C		8
Part D: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points - 5) From Current APR – Renewal Projects only	Max Points	Points Awarded
1. Participants assisted with obtaining mainstream services	1	
2. Participants helped to obtain and/or remain in permanent housing	1	
3. Participants are assisted to increase income and live independently	1	
4. Ensures participants are literal homeless	1	
5. Timely drawdowns	1	1
Total Points - Part D		1
Part E: HUD Thresholds (Maximum Points – 5) For New Projects Only		
1. PSH demonstrates low barrier, housing first model, CH only	5	
2. HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements, unduplicated client records, produces HUD-required reports.	5	5
3. Coordinated Entry demonstrates standardized assessment process, advertising to reach those with highest barriers and easily accessible	5	
FINAL SCORE (Maximum Points = 105)	TOTAL	74
CoC Ranking Team Comments:		